## **Delegated Decision Notification**

| LEAD DIRECTOR <sup>i</sup> :     | Neil Evans, Director of Environment and Housing  |   |                              |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| SUBJECT <sup>ii</sup> :          | Procurement of new council homes on the former Squinting Cat Public House site,<br>Swarcliffe, within the New Build Council Housing Growth Programme, via the YORbuild<br>Framework. |   |                              |  |  |
| DECISION DETAILS                 | The Director of Environment and Housing agreed In line with Contract Procedure Rules   |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | (CPR) 3.1.8 to approve the procurement route for the development of new council  |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | homes at the site of the former Squinting Cat Public House, via the YORbuild   |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | framework.   |   |                              |  |  |
| TYPE OF DECISION:                | Council function (not subject to call-in)  |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | Executive decision (Key)   |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | Is the decision eligible f   | for call-in? <sup>iv</sup>  | s 🗌 No                       |  |  |
|                                  | Is the decision exempt   | from call-in? <sup></sup> □ Ye  | s 🗌 No                       |  |  |
|                                  | Executive decision (Significant Operational <sup>vi</sup> – not subject to call-in)  |   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | Executive decision (Administrative <sup>vii</sup> – not subject to publication or call-in)   |   |                              |  |  |
| NOTICE <sup>viii</sup> / CALL-IN | Date the decision was published in the List of Forthcoming Key Decision.   |   |                              |  |  |
| (KEY DECISIONS                   |  |   |                              |  |  |
| ONLY):                           | If not on the List of Fort<br>why it would be impract  | r at least 28 clear days, the reason<br>n:-   |                              |  |  |
|                                  | If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the Council or the public:-  |   |                              |  |  |
| AFFECTED WARDS:                  | Crossgates and Whinmoor  |   |                              |  |  |
| DETAILS OF                       | Executive Member   | Date consulted:   | Interest disclosed?ix        |  |  |
| CONSULTATION                     | Councillor P Gruen   | Receives regular  | Yes (Date of dispensation: ) |  |  |
| UNDERTAKEN:                      |  | briefing updates on the scheme.   | 🛛 No                         |  |  |
|                                  | Ward Councillor  | Date consulted: 31 <sup>st</sup><br>October 2014<br>Local Ward members<br>were briefed on<br>scheme proposals<br>prior to a planning<br>submission. | Interest disclosed?          |  |  |
|                                  | Councillors, P Gruen,  |   | Yes (Date of dispensation: ) |  |  |
|                                  | P Grahame and D  |   | 🛛 No                         |  |  |
|                                  | Coupar   |   |                              |  |  |

|   | Others <sup>x</sup>  | Date consulted:           | Interest disclosed?  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Local Ward Members<br>and the local<br>community were<br>consulted on scheme<br>proposals via a drop<br>in session held at a<br>venue close to the site<br>on 15 <sup>th</sup> May 2014 pior<br>to a planning<br>submission in<br>November 2014. | 15 <sup>th</sup> May 2014 | <ul> <li>☐ Yes (Date of dispensation: )</li> <li>☑ No</li> </ul> |  |
| CAPITAL INJECTION   |  |                           |  |  |
| APPROVAL  | Injection approval required? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No  |                           |  |  |
| REQUIRED:   | (If yes, you must comple   | ete the Approval box      | below)   |  |
| CAPITAL   |  |                           | Capital Scheme Number:   |  |
| INJECTION   |  |                           | 16692 / SQU / 000  |  |
| APPROVAL  |  | (Name: )                  |  |  |
|   |  | (Title: )                 | Date:  |  |
| IMPLEMENTATION<br>(KEY DECISIONS<br>ONLY)                     | Officer accountable for i<br>Timescales for impleme  |                           |  |  |
| CONTACT PERSON:   | Sarah Griffiths  |                           | Telephone number <sup>xii</sup> : 2476244                        |  |
| DECISION MAKER /<br>AUTHORISED<br>SIGNATORY <sup>xiii</sup> : | Director of Environment<br>(Name: Neil Evans )   |                           | Date: 26 <sup>th</sup> January 2015                              |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> The Leader of the Council may also make executive decisions and should be specified as the Lead Director where appropriate.

A brief title should be inserted here. If the decision is Key and has appeared on the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the List.
 Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding on the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or exempt information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iv</sup> See the Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rules for eligibility. The decision will not be eligible for call-in if it has already been subject to call-in i.e. considered by the relevant Scrutiny Board. This includes a decision which has been modified by the decision maker following a recommendation by a Scrutiny Board after call-in of the earlier decision.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> If the decision is exempt from call-in a reason must be provided in the 'Notice / Call-In' box and in the report. The call-in period expires at 5pm on the 5<sup>th</sup> working day after publication. Scrutiny Support will notify decision makers of matters called-in no later than 12 noon on the 6<sup>th</sup> working day.
 <sup>vi</sup> If the decision would have been a Key decision but for an exception set out in Article 13.6.1, please refer to the connected Key decision in the decision details (either by the title or the reference number).
 <sup>vii</sup> Administrative Decisions do not need to be published on the Council's website but this form may be

used for internal recording of the decision.

<sup>viii</sup> All Key decisions should appear on the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions for 28 clear days before the decision can be taken. If 28 clear days' notice has not been provided, a reason must be provided here.

<sup>ix</sup> No Member having a disclosable pecuniary interest or officer having an interest in any matter (whether pecuniary or otherwise required to be declared) should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here. Any dispensation in place in relation to the matter should also be recorded here.

\* This may include other elected Members, officers, stakeholders and the local community.

<sup>xi</sup> Please include proposed timescales for commencement and / or completion of implementation as appropriate.

<sup>xii</sup> Please insert a complete telephone number whether land line or mobile, rather than an extension number so that you can be contacted from outside the Council.

<sup>xiii</sup> The signatory must be duly authorised by the Lead Director to make a decision in accordance with the relevant sub-delegation scheme. It is not acceptable for the signature to be 'pp' for the authorised signatory. For Key decisions only, the date of the authorised signature signifies that, at the time, the officer was content that the decision should be taken. However, should representations be received following public availability of reports the signatory will consider the effect which such representations should have on the final decision.